

# DNA Computing Models. Springer. 2008. Domination in Permutation Graphs

J.Chithra<sup>1</sup>, S.P.Subbiah<sup>2</sup>, V.Swaminathan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Lady Doak College, Madurai, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, M T N College Madurai, India

<sup>3</sup>Ramanujan Research Centre, SN College, Madurai, India

E-mail: chithra.edwin@gmail.com

**Abstract** - If  $i, j$  belongs to a permutation on  $n$  symbols  $\{1, 2, \dots, p\}$  and  $i$  is less than  $j$  then there is an edge between  $i$  and  $j$  in the permutation graph if  $i$  appears after  $j$ . (i.e) inverse of  $i$  is greater than the inverse of  $j$ . So the line of  $i$  crosses the line of  $j$  in the permutation. So there is a one to one correspondence between crossing of lines in the permutation and the edges of the corresponding permutation graph. In this paper we found the conditions for a permutation to realize paths and cycles and also derived the domination number of permutation graph through the permutation. AMS Subject Classification (2010): 05C35, 05C69, 20B30.

**Key Words:** Permutation Graphs, Domination Number of a Permutation

## I. DOMINATION IN PERMUTATION GRAPHS

**Introduction:** Adin and Roichman [1] introduced the concept of permutation graphs and Peter Keevash, Po-Shen Loh and Benny Sudakov [2] identified some permutation graphs with maximum number of edges. Charles J Colbourn, Lorna K.Stewart [3] characterized the connected domination and Steiner Trees under the Permutation graphs. In this paper we give an algorithm to find a minimal dominating set and so a set of all MDS of a permutation graph from the corresponding permutation. We proved the conditions for a complete bipartition and complete tripartition of a permutation graph and not all the graphs are permutation graphs.

**Definition 1.1:** Let  $\pi$  be a permutation on  $p$  symbols  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  where image of  $a_i$  is  $a'_i$ . Then the PERMUTATION GRAPH  $G_\pi$  is given by  $(V_\pi, E_\pi)$  where  $V_\pi = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  and  $a_i a_j \in E_\pi$ , if  $(a_i - a_j)(\pi^{-1}(a_i) - \pi^{-1}(a_j)) < 0$ .

**Definition 1.2:** The element  $a_i$  is said to DOMINATE  $a_j$  if their lines cross each other in  $\pi$ . The set of collection of elements of  $\pi$  whose lines cross all the lines of the elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p$  in  $\pi$  is said to be a DOMINATING SET of  $\pi$ .  $V = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  is always a dominating set.

**Definition 1.3:** The subset  $D$  of  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  is said to be a MINIMAL DOMINATING SET of  $\pi$  if  $D - \{a_i\}$  is not a dominating set of  $\pi$  for all  $a_i \in D$ .

**Definition 1.4:** The DOMINATION NUMBER of a permutation  $\pi$  is the minimum cardinality of a set in  $MDS(\pi)$  and is denoted by  $\gamma(\pi)$ .

**Definition 1.5:** A graph  $G$  is a PERMUTATION GRAPH if there exists a permutation  $\pi$  such that  $G_\pi = G$ . (i.e) a graph is a permutation graph if it is realizable by a permutation  $\pi$ . Otherwise it is not a permutation graph.

**Definition 1.6:** The NEIGHBOURHOOD of  $a_i$  in  $\pi$  is a set of all elements of  $\pi$  whose lines cross the line of  $a_i$  and is denoted by  $N_\pi(a_i)$ .

**Theorem 1.7:** The domination number of a permutation  $\pi$  is equal to the domination number of the corresponding permutation graph realized by  $\pi$ . (i.e)  $\gamma(\pi) = \gamma(G_\pi)$ , the minimum cardinality of a minimal dominating set of  $G_\pi$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\pi$  be a permutation on a finite set  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  and let  $G_\pi$  be the permutation graph, where  $V_\pi = A$ . Choose  $a_i$  &  $a_j$  in  $\pi$  whose lines cross each other. Then  $\{a_i\}$  or  $\{a_j\}$  will be in a dominating set, say  $D$ . Let  $D = \{a_i\}$  and let  $S = N_\pi(a_i)$ . Let  $V_1 = V - (D \cup S)$ . If  $V_1 = \Phi$ , then  $D$  is a minimal dominating set of  $\pi$ . If not, either  $V_1$  has elements whose lines cross the lines of  $\pi$  or has elements without crossing lines in  $\pi$  (trivial crossing). If all the elements of  $V_1$  has trivial crossing then  $D_1 = D \cup V_1$  is a minimal dominating set. If  $V_1$  has elements whose lines cross the lines of elements of  $\pi$  then choose  $a_r \in V_1$  whose line crosses the line of  $a_i$  in  $\pi$ . Then  $D_1 = D \cup \{a_r\}$  or  $D_1 = D \cup \{a_i\}$ . Let  $D_1 = D \cup \{a_r\}$ . Then  $S_1 = S \cup N_\pi(a_r)$ , where  $a_r \in N_\pi(a_r)$ . Then  $V_2 = V_1 - (D_1 \cup S_1)$ . If  $V_2 = \Phi$  then  $D_1$  is the minimal dominating set of  $\pi$ . If not, either  $V_2$  has elements whose lines cross the lines of elements of  $\pi$ , or has elements which do not cross any of the lines of elements of  $\pi$ . As discussed earlier we arrive at a minimum dominating set  $D_2 = D_1 \cup V_2$  or  $D_2 = D_1 \cup \{a_s\}$  and  $S_2 = S_1 \cup N_\pi(a_s)$ , where  $a_r \in N_\pi(a_s)$ . On continuing this process, after a finite stage  $k$ , we arrive at either  $V_k = \Phi$  or  $V_k$  consisting of elements whose lines do not cross any of the lines of the elements of  $\pi$ . In both cases  $D_k \cup V_k$  is a minimal dominating set of  $\pi$ . Thus all the minimal dominating sets  $MDS(\pi)$  can be established. The minimum cardinality of the set in  $MDS(\pi)$  is the domination number of  $\pi$  which is  $\gamma(\pi)$ . There exists a 1-1 correspondence between the crossing of lines of elements of  $\pi$  and the edges of  $G_\pi$ . Hence  $\gamma(\pi) = \gamma(G_\pi)$ . ■

## II. DOMINATION IN COMPLETE BIPARTITE AND TRIPARTITE GRAPHS

**Lemma 2.1:** Let  $\pi$  be a permutation on  $p$  symbols  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  such that  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$ . Then the permutation graph is a

complete graph if and only if the images of the elements are such that  $a'_p < a'_{p-1} < \dots < a'_1$ .

Proof: Let  $V_\pi = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  such that  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$  and  $a'_p < a'_{p-1} < \dots < a'_1$ . Let  $i < j$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Then  $a_i < a_j$ . Hence by hypothesis the images are in the reverse order. Therefore  $(a_i - a_j)(\pi^{-1}(a_i) - \pi^{-1}(a_j)) < 0$ , and so  $a_i a_j \in E_\pi$ . This is true if  $j < i$  also. Hence  $a_i a_j \in E_\pi$ ,  $i \neq j$ . So  $G_\pi$  is a complete graph. Conversely suppose that  $G_\pi$  is a complete graph. Let  $G_\pi = K_p$ . Let  $i < j$ . Hence  $a_i < a_j$  and  $\pi^{-1}(a_i) = k$  and  $\pi^{-1}(a_j) = k'$ . Then  $a_i < a_j$  and as  $a_i a_j \in E_\pi$  for all  $i \neq j$  and so  $\pi^{-1}(a_i) - \pi^{-1}(a_j) > 0$ . (i.e)  $k > k'$ .

Therefore  $\pi^{-1}(a_p) < \pi^{-1}(a_{p-1}) < \dots < \pi^{-1}(a_1)$

(i.e)  $a'_p < a'_{p-1} < \dots < a'_1$  ■

Theorem 2.2: Let  $\pi$  be a permutation on  $p$  symbols  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  such that  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$ . Then the permutation graph is a complete graph if and only if the images of the elements are such that  $a'_p < a'_{p-1} < \dots < a'_1$ . Then the domination number of  $\pi$  is 1.

Proof: Let  $\pi$  be a permutation on  $p$  symbols  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  such that  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$  and  $a'_p < a'_{p-1} < \dots < a'_1$ . Then by Lemma 2.1,  $G_\pi$  corresponds to the complete graph  $K_p$ . Equivalently every line of an element in  $\pi$  crosses all the remaining lines of the elements of  $\pi$ . Therefore the domination number of  $\pi$  is 1.

Lemma 2.3: Let  $\pi$  be a permutation on  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  such that  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$  and  $a'_k < a'_{k+1} < \dots < a'_p < a'_1 < a'_2 < \dots < a'_{k-1}$  where  $k = 2, 3, \dots, p$ . Then  $G_\pi$  is a complete bipartite graph.

Proof: Let  $V_\pi = S$ ,  $V_1 = \{a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_p\}$  &  $V_2 = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$   $k = 2, 3, \dots, p$ . Let  $a_i \in V_1$  and  $a_j \in V_2$ . (i.e)  $a_j < a_i$ . Then by hypothesis  $a'_i < a'_j$ . Therefore  $\pi^{-1}(a_i) < \pi^{-1}(a_j)$  which implies  $(a_i - a_j)(\pi^{-1}(a_i) - \pi^{-1}(a_j)) < 0$ . Hence  $a_i a_j \in E_\pi$ ,  $k \leq i \leq p$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ . Let  $a_r, a_s \in V_1$ . Assume that  $a_r < a_s$ . Then by the hypothesis,  $\pi^{-1}(a_r) < \pi^{-1}(a_s)$  which implies that  $(a_r - a_s)(\pi^{-1}(a_r) - \pi^{-1}(a_s)) > 0$ . Hence  $a_r a_s \notin E_\pi$ . It is also true if  $a_r > a_s$ ,  $a_r a_s \notin E_\pi$ . Therefore there is no edge among points of  $V_1$ . Similarly it can be seen that there is no edge among points of  $V_2$ . Hence  $G_\pi$  is a complete bipartite graph.

Theorem 2.4: Let  $\pi$  be a permutation on  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  such that  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$  and  $a'_k < a'_{k+1} < \dots < a'_p < a'_1 < a'_2 < \dots < a'_{k-1}$  where  $k = 2, 3, \dots, p$ . Then  $\gamma(\pi) = 1$ , if  $k = 2$  or  $p$ , and  $\gamma(\pi) = 2$  if  $k = 3, 4, \dots, p-1$ .

Proof:  $\pi$  is a permutation on  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  such that  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$  and  $a'_k < a'_{k+1} < \dots < a'_p < a'_1 < a'_2 < \dots < a'_{k-1}$  where  $k = 2, 3, \dots, p$ . Then by Lemma 2.3,  $G_\pi$  is a complete bipartite graph. If  $k = 2$  and  $k = p$  then  $G_\pi = K_{1, p-1}$  and hence  $\gamma(\pi) = 1$ . If  $k = 3, 4, \dots, p-1$ , by Lemma 2.3  $\gamma(\pi) = 2$ .

Lemma 2.5:

Let  $\pi$  be a permutation on  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  where  $p$  is odd such that  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$  and  $a'_{\frac{p+1}{2}+k+1} < a'_{\frac{p+1}{2}+k+2} < \dots < a'_p < a'_{\frac{p+1}{2}-k} < a'_{\frac{p+1}{2}-(k-1)} < \dots < a'_{\frac{p+1}{2}} < a'_{\frac{p+1}{2}+1} < a'_{\frac{p+1}{2}+2} < \dots < a'_{\frac{p+1}{2}+k} < a'_1 < a'_2 < \dots < a'_{\frac{p+1}{2}-(k+1)}$  where  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (p-3)/2$ . Then  $G_\pi$  is a complete tripartite graph.

Proof: Let  $V_\pi = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$ . Let  $V_1 = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\frac{p+1}{2}-(k+1)}\}$ ;  $V_2 = \{a_{\frac{p+1}{2}-k}, a_{\frac{p+1}{2}-(k-1)}, \dots, a_{\frac{p+1}{2}}, a_{\frac{p+1}{2}+1}, \dots, a_{\frac{p+1}{2}+k}\}$  and  $V_3 = \{a_{\frac{p+1}{2}+k+1}, a_{\frac{p+1}{2}+k+2}, \dots, a_p\}$  where  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, (p-3)/2$ . Let  $a_i \in V_1$  and  $a_j \in V_2$ . Then  $a_i < a_j$ . Then by hypothesis  $a'_j < a'_i$ . (i.e)  $\pi^{-1}(a_j) < \pi^{-1}(a_i)$ . Therefore  $(a_i - a_j)(\pi^{-1}(a_i) - \pi^{-1}(a_j)) < 0$ . Hence  $a_i a_j \in E_\pi$ ,  $\forall i=1, 2, \dots, \frac{p+1}{2}-(k+1)$  and  $j=\frac{p+1}{2}-k, \frac{p+1}{2}-(k-1), \dots, \frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{p+1}{2}+k$  where  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (p-3)/2$ . Hence every vertex in  $V_1$  is adjacent to all the vertices of  $V_2$ . Similarly it can be proved that every vertex in  $V_1$  is adjacent to all the vertices of  $V_3$  and every vertex in  $V_2$  is adjacent to all the vertices of  $V_3$ . Now let us prove that there exists no edge among vertices of  $V_1$ . Let  $a_r, a_s \in V_1$ .

Assume that  $a_r < a_s$ . Then by the hypothesis,  $\pi^{-1}(a_r) < \pi^{-1}(a_s)$  which implies that  $(a_r - a_s)(\pi^{-1}(a_r) - \pi^{-1}(a_s)) > 0$ . Hence  $a_r a_s \notin E_\pi$ . It is also true if  $a_r > a_s$ ,  $a_r a_s \notin E_\pi$ . Therefore there is no edge among vertices of  $V_1$ . Similarly it can be seen that there is no edge among vertices of  $V_2$  and among vertices of  $V_3$ . Hence  $G_\pi$  is a complete tripartite graph.

Lemma 2.6: Let  $\pi$  be a permutation on  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  where  $p$  is even such that  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$  and  $a'_{\frac{p}{2}+k+1} < a'_{\frac{p}{2}+k+2} < \dots < a'_p < a'_{\frac{p}{2}-(k-1)} < a'_{\frac{p}{2}-(k-2)} < \dots < a'_{\frac{p}{2}} < a'_{\frac{p}{2}+1} < a'_{\frac{p}{2}+2} < \dots < a'_{\frac{p}{2}+k} < a'_1 < a'_2 < \dots < a'_{\frac{p}{2}-k}$  where  $k = 1, 2, \dots, (p/2)-1$ . Then  $G_\pi$  is a complete tripartite graph.

Proof: Let  $V_\pi = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$ . Let  $V_1 = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\frac{p}{2}-k}\}$ ;  $V_2 = \{a_{\frac{p}{2}-(k-1)}, \dots, a_{\frac{p}{2}}, a_{\frac{p}{2}+1}, \dots, a_{\frac{p}{2}+k}\}$  and  $V_3 = \{a_{\frac{p}{2}+k+1}, a_{\frac{p}{2}+k+2}, \dots, a_p\}$  where  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, (p/2)-1$ . Let  $a_i \in V_1$  and  $a_j \in V_2$ . Then  $a_i < a_j$ . By hypothesis  $a'_j < a'_i$ . (i.e)  $\pi^{-1}(a_j) < \pi^{-1}(a_i)$  which implies  $(a_i - a_j)(\pi^{-1}(a_i) - \pi^{-1}(a_j)) < 0$ . Hence  $a_i a_j \in E_\pi$ ,  $\forall i=1, 2, \dots, \frac{p}{2}-k$

and  $j = \frac{p}{2} - (k-1), \dots, \frac{p}{2}, \dots, \frac{p}{2} + k$  where  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, (p/2)-1$ . Hence every vertex of  $V_1$  is adjacent to all the vertices of  $V_2$ . Similarly it can be proved that every vertex of  $V_1$  is adjacent to all the vertices of  $V_3$  as well as between vertices of  $V_2$  and  $V_3$ . Now let us prove that there exists no edge among vertices of  $V_1$ . Let  $a_r, a_s \in V_1$ . Assume that  $a_r < a_s$ . Then by the hypothesis,  $\pi^{-1}(a_r) < \pi^{-1}(a_s)$  which implies that  $(a_r - a_s)(\pi^{-1}(a_r) - \pi^{-1}(a_s)) > 0$ . Hence  $a_r, a_s \notin E_\pi$ . It is also true if  $a_r > a_s$ ,  $a_r, a_s \notin E_\pi$ . Therefore there is no edge among vertices of  $V_1$ . Similarly it can be seen that there is no edge among vertices of  $V_2$  and among the vertices of  $V_3$ . Hence  $G_\pi$  is a complete tripartite graph. ■

Remark 2.7: Let  $\pi$  be a permutation on  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  such that  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$ . If  $\pi$  is expressed as a product of disjoint cycles such as  $(a_1 a_{i+k})(a_2 a_{i+k+1})(a_3 a_{i+k+2}) \dots (a_{i-k} a_p)$  where  $i = \frac{p+1}{2} + 1, k = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$  for odd  $p$  and  $i = \frac{p+2}{2}, k = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-2}{2}$  for even  $p$ , then  $G_\pi$  is a complete tripartite graph by Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6.

Remark 2.8: The permutations following the pattern described in the Remark 2.7 always realizes a connected graph. Hence  $1 \leq \gamma(\pi) \leq p/2$

Remark 2.9: The number of distinct permutations on  $p$  symbols yielding complete tripartite graphs is  $k = (p-1)/2$  for odd  $p$  and  $k = (p-2)/2$  for even  $p$

Theorem 2.10: Let  $\pi$  be a permutation on  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  such that  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$ . If  $\pi$  is expressed as a product of disjoint cycles such as  $(a_1 a_{i+k})(a_2 a_{i+k+1})(a_3 a_{i+k+2}) \dots (a_{i-k} a_p)$  where  $i = \frac{p+1}{2} + 1, k = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$  for odd  $p$  and  $i = \frac{p+2}{2}, k = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-2}{2}$  for even  $p$ , then (i)  $\gamma(\pi) = 1$  for  $k = 1$  and odd  $p$ ; (ii)  $\gamma(\pi) = 1$  if  $\pi = (a_1 a_p)$ ; (iii)  $\gamma(\pi) = 2$ , otherwise.

Proof: Let  $\pi$  be a permutation on  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  such that  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$ . If  $\pi$  is expressed as a product of disjoint cycles such as  $(a_1 a_{i+k})(a_2 a_{i+k+1})(a_3 a_{i+k+2}) \dots (a_{i-k} a_p)$  where  $i = \frac{p+1}{2} + 1, k = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$  for odd  $p$  and  $i = \frac{p+2}{2}, k = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-2}{2}$  for even  $p$ , then  $\pi$  follows the pattern as described in Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 by the Remark 2.7. Hence (i)  $\gamma(\pi) = 1$  for  $k = 1$  and odd  $p$ ; (ii)  $\gamma(\pi) = 1$  if  $\pi = (a_1 a_p)$ ; (iii)  $\gamma(\pi) = 2$ , otherwise.

### III. REALIZABLE PERMUTATION GRAPHS

Lemma 3.1:

Let  $\pi$  be a permutation on  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  such that  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$ . and let (A)  $a'_i = a_{i-2}$  odd  $i, 1 < i < p$ , and  $a'_j = a_{j+2}$ , even  $j, 1 \leq j < p-1, a'_1 = a_2$  and  $a'_{p-1} = a_p$  for odd

$p$  and  $a'_p = a_{p-1}$  for even  $p$  (or) (B)  $a'_i = a_{i+2}$ , odd  $i, 1 < p$ , and  $a'_j = a_{j-2}$ , even  $j, 2 < j \leq p, a'_2 = a_1$  and  $a'_p = a_{p-1}$  for odd  $p$  and  $a'_{p-1} = a_p$  for even  $p$ . Then  $G_\pi$  is a path with  $p$  vertices.

Proof:

(A) Given  $a'_i = a_{i-2}$  odd  $i, 1 < i < p$ , and  $a'_j = a_{j+2}$ , even  $j, 1 \leq j < p-1, a'_1 = a_2$  and  $a'_{p-1} = a_p$  for odd  $p$  and  $a'_p = a_{p-1}$  for even  $p$ . Hence  $\pi^{-1}(a_i) = a_i; \pi^{-1}(a_{j+2}) = a_j; 1 \leq j < p-1; \pi^{-1}(a_p) = a_{p-1}$  and  $\pi^{-1}(a_{i-2}) = a_i$  odd  $i, 1 < i < p$ .

Case 1: Let  $m$  be odd.

Claim 1:  $a_m a_{m+1}, a_m a_{m+3} \in E_\pi, 1 \leq m < p$ . We know  $a_m - a_{m+1} < 0, \pi^{-1}(a_m) = a_{m+2}$  and  $\pi^{-1}(a_{m+1}) = a_{m-1}$ . Therefore  $\pi^{-1}(a_m) - \pi^{-1}(a_{m+1}) = a_{m+2} - a_{m-1} > 0$  and hence  $(a_m - a_{m+1})(\pi^{-1}(a_m) - \pi^{-1}(a_{m+1})) < 0$ . So  $a_m a_{m+1} \in E_\pi$ . Similarly  $a_m - a_{m+3} < 0$  and  $\pi^{-1}(a_m) - \pi^{-1}(a_{m+3}) = a_{m+2} - a_{m+1} > 0$ . Hence  $a_m a_{m+3} \in E_\pi, 1 \leq m < p$ .

Claim 2:  $a_m a_{m+k} \notin E_\pi$  where  $k = 4, 5, 6, \dots, p-m$ . Here  $a_m - a_{m+k} < 0, \pi^{-1}(a_m) = a_{m+2}$  and  $\pi^{-1}(a_{m+k}) = a_{m+k+2}$  for even  $k$  and  $\pi^{-1}(a_{m+k}) = a_{m+k-2}$  for odd  $k$ . Therefore  $(a_m - a_{m+k})(\pi^{-1}(a_m) - \pi^{-1}(a_{m+k})) < 0$ . Hence  $a_m a_{m+k} \notin E_\pi$  where  $k = 4, 5, 6, \dots, p-m$ .

Case 2: Let  $m$  be even.

$m-1$  and  $m-3$  are odd and similar proof can be given to show that  $a_m a_{m-1}, a_m a_{m-3} \in E_\pi, 1 < m \leq p-1$  and  $a_m a_{m+k} \notin E_\pi$  where  $1 < m < p, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p-m$ .

Case 3: Let us prove that  $a_p a_{p-2} \in E_\pi$ , and  $a_p a_{p-i} \notin E_\pi$ , where  $i = 1, 3, 4, \dots, p-1; a_1 a_2 \in E_\pi$  and  $a_2 a_n \notin E_\pi, 1 < n \leq p$ .  $a_p - a_{p-2} > 0, \pi^{-1}(a_p) - \pi^{-1}(a_{p-2}) = a_{p-1} - a_p < 0$ . Therefore  $(a_p - a_{p-2})(\pi^{-1}(a_p) - \pi^{-1}(a_{p-2})) < 0$ . Hence  $a_p a_{p-2} \in E_\pi$ . We know that  $a_p - a_{p-i} > 0, i = 1, 3, 4, \dots, p-1. \pi^{-1}(a_p) - \pi^{-1}(a_{p-i}) = a_{p-1} - a_{p-k} > 0, k = 2, 3, \dots, p-1$ . Hence  $a_p a_{p-i} \notin E_\pi, i = 1, 3, 4, \dots, p-1$ . Similarly it can be proved that  $a_1 a_2 \in E_\pi$  and  $a_2 a_n \notin E_\pi$ . Hence the permutation  $\pi$  given by  $a'_i = a_{i-2}$  odd  $i, 1 < i < p$ , and  $a'_j = a_{j+2}$ , even  $j, 1 \leq j < p-1, a'_1 = a_2$  and  $a'_{p-1} = a_p$  for odd  $p$  and  $a'_p = a_{p-1}$  for even  $p$  realizes a path  $P_{p_1} = \{a_2, a_1, a_4, a_3, \dots, a_p, a_{p-2}\}$ . By the same argument as above it can be proved that  $\pi$  realizes the path  $P_{p_1} = \{a_2, a_1, a_4, a_3, \dots, a_p, a_{p-1}\}$  for even  $p$ .

(B) Similar proof can be set for the pattern given by  $\pi a'_i = a_{i+2}$ , odd  $i, 1 \leq i < p$ , and  $a'_j = a_{j-2}$ , even  $j, 2 < j \leq p, a'_2 = a_1$  and  $a'_p = a_{p-1}$  for odd  $p$  and  $a'_{p-1} = a_p$  for even  $p$ . This pattern realizes the path  $P_{p_1} = \{a_1, a_3, a_2, a_5, \dots, a_p, a_{p-1}\}$  for odd  $p$  and  $P_{p_1} = \{a_1, a_3, a_2, a_5, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_{p-2}, a_p\}$  for even  $p$ .

Theorem 3.2: Let  $\pi$  be a permutation on  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  such that  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$ . and let (A)  $a'_i = a_{i-2}$  odd  $i, 1 < i < p$ , and  $a'_j = a_{j+2}$ , even  $j, 1 \leq j < p-1, a'_1 = a_2$  and  $a'_{p-1} = a_p$

for odd  $p$  and  $a'_p = a_{p-1}$  for even  $p$  (or) (B)  $a'_i = a_{i+2}$ , odd  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i < p$ , and  $a'_j = a_{j-2}$ , even  $j$ ,  $2 < j \leq p$ ,  $a'_2 = a_1$  and  $a'_p = a_{p-1}$  for odd  $p$  and  $a'_{p-1} = a_p$  for even  $p$ . Then  $\gamma(\pi) = \lceil p/3 \rceil$ .

Proof: Let  $\pi$  be a permutation on  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  such that  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$ . and let (A)  $a'_i = a_{i-2}$ , odd  $i$ ,  $1 < i < p$ , and  $a'_j = a_{j+2}$ , even  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j < p-1$ ,  $a'_1 = a_2$  and  $a'_{p-1} = a_p$  for odd  $p$  and  $a'_p = a_{p-1}$  for even  $p$  (or) (B)  $a'_i = a_{i+2}$ , odd  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i < p$ , and  $a'_j = a_{j-2}$ , even  $j$ ,  $2 < j \leq p$ ,  $a'_2 = a_1$  and  $a'_p = a_{p-1}$  for odd  $p$  and  $a'_{p-1} = a_p$  for even  $p$ . Then by Lemma 3,  $G_\pi$  is a path with  $p$  vertices and hence  $\gamma(\pi) = \lceil p/3 \rceil$ .

Theorem 3.3:  $C_n$ , is not a permutation graph for any  $n \geq 5$

Proof: When  $n = 3$ , according to Lemma 2.1  $C_3$  is a permutation graph. When  $n = 4$  then by Lemma 2.3,  $C_4$  is also a permutation graph. The permutations mentioned in the above theorem realize the path with  $p$  vertices. The vertices  $a_2$  and  $a_p$  are adjacent to exactly one vertex each and other vertices are of degree 2 in case A and the vertices  $a_1$  and  $a_{p-1}$  are adjacent to exactly one vertex each and other vertices are of degree 2 in case B. Therefore if a permutation has to realize a cycle, then the vertices  $a_2$  and  $a_p$  in Case A, or  $a_1$  and  $a_{p-1}$  in Case B must be of degree two along with the other vertices with degree two, which is not possible by the above theorem. Hence  $C_n$ ,  $n \geq 5$  are not permutation graphs.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Peter Keevosh, Po-Shen Loh and Benny Sudakov, "Bounding the number of edges in a Permutation Graph", The electronic Journal of Combinatorics 13, pp 1-9, 2006.
- [2] R.Adin and Y.Roichman, On Degrees in the Hasse Diagram of the Strong Bruhat Order, Seminaire Lotharingien d Combinatoire 53 (2006), B53g.
- [3] Charles J. Colbourn, Lorna K.Stewart "Permutation Graphs: Connected Domination and Steiner Trees", Research Report CS-85-02, Canada, 1985.
- [4] Frank Harary, Graph Theory, Narosa Publishing House, Calcutta, pp. 2001.
- [5] Teresa W.Haynes, Stephen T. Hedetniemi, Peter J.Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs, in Graphs, Marcel Dekker, INC., New York, pp.1-106, 1998.
- [6] Ryuhei Uehara, Gabriel Valiente, Linear structure of Bipartite Permutation Graphs and the Longest Path Problem, 2006.